



First and incomplete draft: not for quotation.

PLANTING CHURCHES IN NORTH AMERICA TODAY
Paul G. Hiebert

In a powerful article Leslie Newbigin asks the question, "Can the West be Converted?" The question seems to fly in the face of facts. 64% of North Americans call themselves 'religious,' and 34% claim to be born again (Hirsley 1991:8). Overall evangelical churches appear to be growing.

Behind these data are another set that pose deeper questions. Even as professions of Christian faith increase, morality in the U.S. has continued to fall. Only 37% say they want to share their beliefs with those of other religions - down from 42% in 1989 (Hirsley 1991:8). Furthermore, the differences in lifestyle between Christians and the world continue to drop.

It is because he is aware of these contradictory data that Newbigin raises a deeper question, "Has the Church in the West sold out to western culture, and lost its soul?" In our growth are we in danger of becoming religious clubs and no longer being the church?

In seeking to plant churches in North American today, we must understand the world in which we live, and the nature of the church as the body of Christ before we can ask the question how the church should find its expression in the modern world. I will look briefly at the first of these, namely the times in which we live. Others in this conference will deal with the nature of the church. It is our task in this conference to seek

together to determine how the church should look in the modern setting.

IN THE WORLD

In examining our North American context, it is important that we do not see it as a single whole. We live in a time of rapid pluralization of the world around us. Immigrants, refugees, students and businessmen are moving into our cities. In L.A. classes are now taught in more than 67 languages. Most of us in this room live in similarly diverse communities.

We also live in an age of transition. Modernity, the order in which we grew up with its industrialization, technological wizardry, massive institutions and social systems, and mechanistic view of reality is under attack. Some speak of the emergence of a Post Modern Era which will challenge the cultural foundations of our societies. It is not clear whether what is called Post Modernity is a rear-guard action against the massive centralization of modernity and that the latter will eventually win out, or that Post Modernity will indeed unseat Modernity and usher us into a New Age of relationships, spirituality, beauty and self-realization.

I would like to analyze our North American context in terms of the Modern - Post Modern distinction. It is a helpful way of looking at the world around us, but we need to realize that this model itself is still in question.

The World of Modernity

The age of modernity began about the sixteenth century, but it came to maturity only in the twentieth century. At the surface it is seen in modern technological advances, organizational management and science. At the deepest level it is a worldview that has profoundly affected us as Christians, and our churches.

This Worldly Orientation

As Max Weber has pointed out¹, modernity began with a shift from a focus on other-worldly, eternal realities to this-worldly, present realities. Gradually we focused our attention on life here and lost sight of heaven. Today we are mesmerized by the News and have lost our sense of History.

This emphasis on the present world has deeply influenced the world in which we live. Early on it gave rise to the sciences and technology with their study of this world, and to a valuation of business as an important part of life.² Later this led to an increasing secularization of western society as materialism become a dominant philosophy of the common people. Since the end of the nineteenth century it has given birth to pragmatism and to consumerism as a way of life.³

Today these four movements are powerful forces affecting our churches. Science and management by objectives influences the way we plan our church activities. The underlying assumption is that with proper planning and human engineering we can achieve our goals.

While our churches publicly oppose secularism, it is creeping into our sanctuaries by the back doors. It is seen in our loss of sacred symbols, and with them a sense of sacredness itself.⁴ It is seen in our move towards worship as entertainment, and our adapting the church to the schedules of the world.

Pragmatism, too, has become a North American way of life. We separate means from ends, and are more interested in techniques⁵ than in consequences, in success than in outcome, in doing than in being. We see this in our ads which show us that we can go anywhere in comfort in a Mercedes, but never ask us where we want to go in one.

Finally, as Bruggeman points out, while we argued with liberals of doctrine, both of us have sold out to consumerism with little resistance. The good life here for ourselves and for our children is one of our highest values. Sacrifice for the sake of eternity is losing its meaning.

How should we as Christians respond to secularism, consumerism and human engineering approaches to life?

The Public-Private Split

A second characteristic of modernity is the division of life and reality into public and private spheres (table 1).⁶ Each of us experience this split in our everyday lives. At work reasoning and planning dominate our lives. We manage our feelings and become the roles we occupy (manager, secretary, plumber, etc). At home we 'let down our hair,' express our real

feelings and are persons. At work we are part of corporations and other bureaucratic systems based on accomplishing tasks. In our private lives we organize clubs or join crowds that serve common personal interests.

Table 1
Public and Private Spheres in Modern Life

| PUBLIC SPHERE | PRIVATE SPHERE |
|--|------------------------------|
| - Office | - Home |
| - Work | - Entertainment, recreation |
| - Rationalized, planned | - Emotional, spontaneous |
| - Managed self | - Real self |
| - Objective truth | - Subjective truth |
| - Science | - Religion |
| - News | - Views |
| - Uniformity and Grand Unifying Theories (GUTS) | - Pluralism and Tolerance |
| - Mechanical worldview | - Organic worldview |

As Newbiggin points out (1986), most North Americans put religion in the private sphere. It goes along with sports, entertainment, music, arts and other personal preferences. Everyone must study science in school, including those studying in Christian schools. Religion is optional. It is private truth - what you choose to believe in.

The church has struggled with its identity in this split world. Main line denominations tend to see themselves in the public sphere. They speak out on world events and try to influence governments. Evangelicals and Charismatics, for the most part, have been content to be in the private sphere, defining spirituality in terms of personal behavior, and emotions. As anabaptists, we have been uncertain about our

relationship to either of these spheres - seeking rather to return to a wholistic view of the Christian life.

Today this split is leading to an even deeper problem for us. So long as all our neighbors were Christians or secularists, we could continue our evangelistic outreach with little social discord. Now, however, our neighbors are Muslims, Hindus and Buddhists. All of us must study science in school because it is seen as objective, universal truth. In religion, however, if we all are evangelistic, social unrest is bound to occur. The simplest answer is for each religion to reach its own children, and to accept other religions as other equally valid religious systems. This kills evangelism and further makes religion a matter of subjective opinion.

We as leaders need to understand the tremendous societal pressure at work on our lay people not to seek to convert those of other religions. Our jobs are not at stake when we call for evangelism. Theirs often are when they put it into practice.

How should we as a church respond to the growing religious relativism and emphasis on tolerance in our modern context?

Individualism

A third characteristic of modernity is individualism.⁷ In everyday life we value individual freedom, personal choice and self-fulfillment higher than social coercion, group demands and corporate good. In society we value democracy and capitalism as principles of organization. On the basis of these we organize clubs and corporations.

Individualism is valued in North American churches. We choose the churches we attend for personal reasons. We feel we have a right to leave if we don't like the preacher. We hesitate to exercise discipline on those who stray. In many ways we increasingly operate like a religious club rather than a family. The former is made up of people of like mind. It's unity is based on homogeneity. In the latter every family member is different. We must live with these differences, and we cannot opt out without dropping out of the family altogether.

Urbanism

One of the products of modernity is the city. The 1990 U.S. census shows that more than half of the people in the U.S. live in 39 cities over one million people (1991:3)* Canadians, too, are gathering in a few large urban areas.

The city is more than a gathering of people. It is a way of life and a worldview. It is made possible by the building of macro social systems of water, power, communications, transportation, banking, business, government, universities and politics. It is characterized by mobility, transiency, social diversity based on class and ethnicity, the proliferation of clubs, associations and networks, and specialization. Behind this lies a view of the world that stresses human engineering of nature and society; time and efficiency; achievement and profit; competition, pragmatism and success; and the celebration of diversity and an emphasis on tolerance of others.

The city poses a new challenge to the church, not only because so many people are moving there, but because it is a different way of life. The church in North America, for the most part, was shaped in a rural setting. This placed an emphasis on enduring relationships, stability, continuity over time, and a rhythmic cycle of days and seasons. It assumed a homogeneous community that participated in all of the church activities. It also assumed one basic style of worship and ministry.

Rural ways, however, do not often work in cities. They continue to work in immigrant communities in cities made up of people from an ethnic group, such as the Chinese, Hmong and Mennonites, or of rural migrants to the city. In any city there are these pockets of rural life - barrios, favelas, bustees and ghettos. In two or three generations, however, the second and third generation offspring are assimilated into urban life (Hiebert and Hertig 1991). Churches built on the foundations of ethnicity grow so long as immigration continues. The children and grandchildren of the immigrants, however, do not want to be identified with their ethnic roots. Many reject their ethnic church, and with it Christianity, in their attempt to become 'Americans.'⁹ In three or four generations the ethnic church dies out if it does not open its doors to the world around it.

We as Mennonite Brethren struggle with the same transition. We are second, third and fourth generation immigrants in the U.S. and Canada seeking to assimilate into North American societies. We are also rural peoples moving into the cities, but using rural

ways of organizing our churches and worship services, and of evangelizing our neighbors.

Pluralism

To some extent North America has always be pluralistic. Immigrants from many parts of the world have settled on its shores, trying to find a place in a larger society.

Until the 1980s, however, the effects of this pluralism were tempered. First, the vast majority of immigrants came from Europe and shared a basic worldview. Their surface cultures differed, but underneath, the people had roots in a 'Christian civilization.' Christian morality, if not faith, dominated their values. Enlightenment rationalism was the foundation for their beliefs.

Since the 1980s North America has faced a flood of immigrants from around the world - immigrants with radically different worldviews. The people of North America now comprise a mosaic of more than 500 ethnic groups. More that 100 million Americans identify themselves as language-culture people, speaking some 636 languages and dialects (Home Mission Board, SBC, 1991). Muslims, Hindus, Buddhists, Sikhs, Confucianists, Bahais, and animists of a thousand sorts have crowded into our cities. We no longer share even the basic assumptions of a Christian-Enlightenment worldview.

A second tempering factor until now has been the faith that in time the diversity in North America will melt into a single culture. At first North America was seen as a 'melting pot' in

which people of all cultures would merge into the dominant American culture. Later it was referred to as a 'stew pot,' implying that different peoples would keep their distinctives, but would become part of one larger, integrating culture. Even that metaphor is now obsolete. We now ask whether people should indeed seek some fundamental unity underlying their differences, and, if not what would hold us together if we all affirm our diversity.

In the worldview of modernity, we recognize the present diversity of peoples, cultures and religions as a fact, but seek for a common foundation in which to build our nations. In such a world, Christians have the right, even responsibility, to seek to convert other people to faith in Christ. After all, Christianity was one of the foundations on which western society has been built.

Current evangelical efforts to reach new immigrant communities have been quite successful. Southern Baptists, Methodists and others have rapidly growing 'language' or 'ethnic' churches. This has posed other problems, how do these churches fit into the larger denominational structures? How should these allocate money and power? On a lower level, how can several diverse congregations live together in a single church building? Who, for example, should keep the kitchen clean, and what does it mean to be clean?

The growth of non-Anglo congregations masks another deeper issue. The Anglo membership in many evangelical denominations

continues to drop. For example, the growth of the Southern Baptists is all a product of nonWhite growth. Is 'Anglo Christianity,' which has served as the heart of these denominations, dying at the core?

The World of Post-Modernity

A number of scholars argue that we are moving out of the age of modernity into the age of post-modernity (cf. Niasbitt 1982). It is not clear whether this is simply another rearguard action in the private sphere against the advance of modernity with its massive systems, or, indeed, an early sign of the demise of modernity and the birth of a new era.

In either case, post-modernity is increasingly a part of our lives, and we as a church must be aware of it. What are its chief characteristics, and how do these affect our being the church and planting the church in North America?

Deconstructionism

At its root, post-modernity is a rebellion against the massive unified, materialistic and mechanistic systems of modernity. It seeks a new 'spirituality' based on an organic-relational view of reality. This new worldview shows itself in several ways.

Glorying in pluralism and smallness If modernity is characterized by massive uniformity (e.g. McDonalds, G.M.), Post-Modernity glorifies in diversity and smallness (eg. shopping malls with a variety of food stalls and small specialty shops).

Decentralization, not centralization, is valued. Relationships, not efficiency, take priority.

Behind this fragmentation lies a new view of pluralism. Christopher Duraisingh writes (Newbiggin 1989:vii),

The witness of the church has always taken place within a pluralistic milieu. During recent years, however, new perceptions of this milieu have emerged, and pluralism is fast assuming the character of an ideology.

As a dogma, pluralism affirms that at the very foundations social, cultural and religious diversity is good, that all expressions of these are equally valid, and, therefore, none should seek to convert the others to its point of view.

Missionaries have always faced this relativism as they went into the world to minister. Today that world has moved next to our churches, and our local church members are facing the corrosive appeal of 'live and let live.'

Reason, too, is under attack because it is seen as the foundation for modernity. Incongruity and logical inconsistencies are seen not as signs of weakness, but of strength. (Quote)

Deconstructionism has begun to affect the church. Bibby (19##), in a study of Canadians, found that most no longer have a single theological system by which they critique reality. Rather, they have a disjointed mix of theological ideas that serve different pragmatic purposes.

Relativism and tolerance In a pluralistic society in which we affirm differences, tolerance is a prime value. We may invite

others to join us, but we must not seek to convert them. We must respect the rights of others to believe differently from ourselves.

We must also recognize that there are many roads to spirituality, and that all of these lead to the same end. Relativism becomes a way of life.

Social unity is found not in centralized systems and bureaucracies, but in networks of cooperative activities. In these, each group maintains its own distinctives, but joins other groups in common causes.

Spirituality

Post modernity moves beyond modernity with its emphasis on self-fulfillment to self-realization. A key question is that of identity, particularly in relationship to others and the world outside. This, in part, helps us understand the emergence of sports as a pseudo-religion (###).

Identity is now sought not in rational but in emotional unity. Mysticism and ecstasy (both of which center in the self in contrast to awe and mystery which focus on the Other), are dominant themes.

Identity is also sought in health and wholeness. As ## points out, we are moving into a therapeutic age. The concepts of sin and evil are replaced by ignorance and desire. The answer to the present human dilemma is not salvation, but healing.

Guruism

With decentralization comes the question of organization - how do things get done. The post-modern answer is strong leaders who are not bureaucratic administrators, but charismatic (in the sociological sense) gurus. It is they who can lead us forward.

BUT NOT OF THE WORLD

How can we be the church and plant new churches in this world of social and cultural pluralism, and of modern and post-modern worldviews?

Pluralism

It is clear that we as a church must deal with the growing pluralism in North America. Our response must be two-fold.

Pluralism as a Fact

We must first deal with the fact that pluralism is here to stay. The question is, how do we deal with this in the church. On the one hand, we can continue the colonialism of the past and try to remake others in our own church image in language, worship styles, organization and lifestyles. On the other, we can pursue an anti-colonial course of action by starting homogeneous churches in each ethnic community and affirming its differences. Neither of these is Biblical. Neither of these will work. The homogenization of North American churches is clearly impossible. On the other hand, denominations that started ethnic churches now face the question of integration at the denominational and congregational levels.

We must plant ethnic churches to reach the different groups in our societies. But we must also build relationships of fellowship and partnership that demonstrate to the world the Gospel of reconciliation and love. We must find ways of celebrating diversity, even as we affirm our common unity in Christ. We need to move beyond the paternalism that characterized past inter-ethnic and inter-class relationships. We need to move beyond the isolationism of homogeneous churches.

Pluralism as an ideology

At a deeper level, we face the challenge of pluralism as an ideology. This is an acid corroding the foundations of our churches. It kills our evangelistic fervor, and missionary outreach. It destroys Truth and the Gospel.

The Uniqueness of the Gospel

Our response to the relativism of pluralism cannot be a return to the dogmatic equation of the Gospel with our own cultural understandings of it. We need to recognize that the Gospel speaks prophetically to our own Christianity just as does to all human religions and cultures. Here, I believe our Anabaptist understanding of the nature of theology helps us greatly.

On the other hand, we must return to a strong affirmation of the uniqueness of Christ and the Gospel as divine revelation, not human creation. Only revelation from without can free us from our cultural subjectivisms.

Critical Contextualization

We face a second question, how can we as the older Anglo church recover our vital Christian faith, a faith that keeps us from becoming part of the world, and that motivates us to minister in that world? I agree with Newbigin that we have too often sold out to the world and its ways. We have contextualized the Gospel uncritically, to the point that we stand under the judgment God gave of Laodicea (Rev. 3:14-22). We need a spiritual revival that turns us around to being first God's people, and then God's people in this world.

With revival we need to return to a process of critical contextualization¹⁰ in which we examine our ways in the light of Biblical teaching. We need to hear the Word of God afresh in our cultural and social contexts, and to build the church as a transcultural body of faith, fellowship and Biblical interpretation. Without this, our churches become religious clubs, crowds or corporations.

X] I believe we as a conference are at a critical point in our spiritual walk, and the decisions and actions we take here and at other similar conferences will affect profoundly where we will be in fifty or a hundred years.

References Cited

Bellah, Robert N.

1985 Habits of the Heart: Individualism and Commitment in American Life. Berkeley: Univ. of Calif. Press.

- Berger, Peter, Brigitte Berger and Hansfried Kellner
1974 The Homeless Mind: Modernity and Consciousness. N.Y.:
Vintage Books.
- Bibby,
- Conn, Harvie
1984 Eternal Word and Changing Worlds. Grand Rapids:
Zondervan.
- Dillistone, F. W.
1986 The Power of Symbols in Religion and Culture. N.Y.:
Crossroad.
- Ellul, Jacques
1964 The Technological Society. N.Y.: Vintage Books.
- Fox, Richard W. and T. J. Jackson Lears, Eds.
1983 The Culture of Consumption. N.Y.: Pantheon.
- Gilliland, Dean, ed.
1989 The Word Among Us: Contextualizing Theology for Mission
Today. Dallas: Word Publishing.
- Hesselgrave, David and Ed Rommen
1989 Contextualization.
- Hiebert, Paul G.
1987 "Critical contextualization." International Bulletin
of Missionary Research. 11:3:104-111.
- Hiebert, Paul G. and Young Hertig
1991 "Asian Immigrants in North American Cities." To appear
in Urban Mission in 1991.
- Hirsley, Michael
1991 War has impact on beliefs in U.S. Chicago Tribune.
March 1. Section 2, page 8.
- Home Mission Board
1991 "They shall be My People." Brochure published by the
Southern Baptist Church.
- Kavanaugh, John F.
1986 Following Christ in a Consumer Society: The
Spirituality of Cultural Resistance. Maryknoll, N.Y.:
Orbis Books.
- Naisbitt, John
1982 Megatrends: Ten New Directions Transforming Our Lives.
N.Y.: Warner Books.

Newbigin, Lesslie

1986 Foolishness to the Greeks. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans.

1989 The Gospel in a Pluralist Society. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans.

1988 "Can the West be Converted." International Bulletin of Missionary Research. 12:

Weber, Max

1930 The Protestant Ethic and the Spirit of Capitalism.

Translated by Talcott Parsons. London: Allen and Unwin.

1. See Max Weber, The Rise of Capitalism.

2. For a discussion of the worldview underlying both science and modern management by objective ways of thinking, see Peter Berger, et al. The Homeless Mind (1974) and Jacque Ellul, The Technological Society (1964). Underlying both is the view that reality is mechanistic - that it operates by fixed laws. If we know those laws, we can engineer reality. In factories we apply this thinking to nature and produce technological advances. In bureaucracies we apply it to humans and engineer human responses.

3. For an extended discussion of the rise of consumerism as a way of life see Richard Fox, and T. J. Jackson Lears, eds. The Culture of Consumption (1983). For a Christian response see John F. Kavanaugh, Following Christ in a Consumer Society (1986).

4. For a discussion of the importance of sacred symbols in maintaining a true sense of the sacred see F. W. Dillistone, The Power of Symbols in Religion and Culture (1986). Our move to emotions in our worship services is not a true move to a sense of the sacred, and it should not surprise us, therefore, that highly emotional worship services, important as they are in themselves, do not lead to lasting actions.

Some of the sacred symbols we have lost are prohibiting business on Sundays, dressing up to go to services, holding regular family devotions and using reverential language for God. No one of these is important in itself. Rather, with their loss we have not replaced them with other powerful sacred symbols. Without sacred symbols we lose a sense of sacredness itself. In fact, the erosion of sacredness has gone so far that we no longer see the need for such symbols, or are even aware that they are essential for true worship. Worship has become totally internal and mystical - a feeling

we experience and go away from happy. In reacting to dead rituals, Protestants got rid of rituals. Now we have no deep ways to experience the sacred. This is a fundamental flaw in Protestantism. Reintroducing traditional rituals is not the answer, but creating living sacred symbols is.

5. This is powerfully argued by Jacques Ellul in The Technological Society.
6. For an excellent discussion of this see Leslie Newbigin, Foolishness to the Greeks (1986).
7. For an excellent discussion of this see Robert Bellah, Habits of the Heart. Bellah's research on American individualism, led him to despair of the future of American culture. The only hope, he felt, was in the church, so he has become a Christian, to the incredulity of his peers at the University of California where he is the senior professor in sociology of religion.
8. Chicago Tribune. February 20, 1991.
9. Young Hertig and others estimate that the Korean churches in L.A. are losing forty percent of their young people to Christianity because the Korean churches have become identified with Koreanness. Korean is taught to the children in church on Saturdays, and Korean ways are preserved in the worship services.
studied ethnic churches in the U.S. and found that most last three or four generations. During the immigration the churches provide people with an identity and community in a new setting. Successive generations, however, no longer want to retain that ethnic identity and move out. The churches continue until the 'old timers' die out.
10. For three views of contextualization see David Hesselgrave and Ed Rommen, Contextualization (1989), Dean Gilliland, ed. The Word Among Us (1989), and Harvie Conn, Eternal Word and Changing Worlds (1984). For a discussion of critical contextualization see P. G. Hiebert, "Critical contextualization," 1987.



WINTER 1990

Trinity World Forum

WORLD TRENDS AND THEIR IMPLICATIONS FOR MISSIONS

Paul G. Hiebert

We live in a day of such rapid change that past experience is no longer sufficient to help us plan for the future. Unfortunately, we too often plan for the past because that is what we know. A number of leaders, both in the world (J. Naisbitt, A. Toffler, P. Berger) and in the church (D. Hesselgrave, T. Sine, D. McKenna) are reminding us of the need to examine past and current trends in order to help us understand the future.

Here we will explore several critical trends in the world, and examine some of the implications of those trends for missions.

The Spread of Modernity

Modernity is one of the great missionary movements of our age. Schools and clinics have appeared in the remotest villages. Universities, hospitals and research laboratories have become the status symbols of new nations. Even in lands once called Christendom, the church has yielded to its influence.

Modernity is made up of several strands. One is an emphasis on this world and on material prosperity. A second is the displacement of an organic view of the world by a mechanistic one (Berger 1973). The result is science, technology and faith in human engineering—both physical (the factory) and social (the bureaucracy). A third strand is individualism and the pursuit of comfort, pleasure and self-fulfillment (Bellah 1985).

Another major strand is a Neoplatonic dualism that re-emerged during the Enlightenment. This divides life into two spheres: public and private. The former is the world we all share—the world of work, government and public discourse in which truth is seen as objective and is determined by science. The latter world is that of our own particular communities. Here truth is thought to be subjective and personal. As Leslie Newbigin points out (1989), religion, the arts and entertainment religion are assigned to this. All North American children, even those in parochial schools, must study the sciences. Religion, however, must be taught at home or in the church, and is thought to be a matter of personal choice.

Modernity is both a blessing and a curse for missions. It has brought health and education to much of the world. Moreover, it breaks the tight hold traditional religions have on their people.

But modernity has also become a powerful force for secularism, undermining the foundations of all religions, including Christianity. Despite the revival of religious beliefs in many parts of the world, secularism is one of the fastest growing

'religions' worldwide. It is also a major factor in the emergence of nominalism in second and third generation Christians around the world.

Modernity has set in motion other global trends that have serious implications for Christian missions.

Population growth and the crisis of resources One consequence of modern science is the world population explosion. Sometime during 1987 the earth's population passed five billion. When William Carey went to India two centuries ago it was less than one billion. It took roughly one hundred years (1830-1930) to add the second billion; thirty years (1930-1960) to add the third; fifteen years (1960-1975) to add the fourth; and twelve to add the fifth billion. Another billion and more will be added by the end of this century.

This explosion is precipitating economic and social crises of profound proportions. The gulf between rich and poor nations, and between rich and poor within nations has increased dramatically. By World Bank estimates, one half of the world's population is now poor. One quarter live "in an environment of squalor, hunger and hopelessness . . . so deprived as to be below any rational definition of human decency" (Sommers 1977:2). Five hundred million are on the edge of starvation.

Most important, much of this population growth is occurring in societies where the Gospel is rarely or never heard. Despite the rapid growth of the church, there are more people today who have not heard enough about Jesus Christ to make an intelligent decision to follow Him than ever before. The task of world missions has never been greater.

Globalization A second consequence of the modern era is the linking of people around the world into global networks. It is estimated that until the sixteenth century the average person never traveled more than ten miles from home in a lifetime. The world was made up of localized societies largely unaware of one another's existence. Today the world has become one interlocking system. People travel freely across national boundaries and trade carries products to distant markets.

One result of this movement has been the planting of churches throughout the world. In 1900 approximately 560 million people called themselves Christian. Of these 84% were in the West. By the end of this century some two billion will bear that name, but only 29% will be in the West. The proportion of evangelicals in the young churches will be even greater.

(continued on page 2)



Trinity World Forum

VOL. 16 NO. 1
FALL 1990

TRINITY WORLD FORUM STAFF

EDITOR:
Robert E. Coleman

CONTRIBUTING EDITORS:
Faculty of TEDS

TRINITY WORLD FORUM is published three times a year by the School of World Mission and Evangelism, Trinity Evangelical Divinity School. It seeks to relate important and current issues in mission and evangelism to the missionary, the pastor, and the mission-minded layperson. Statements of fact and opinion are the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the School of World Mission and Evangelism or Trinity Evangelical Divinity School.

A one-year subscription to the TRINITY WORLD FORUM is provided without charge upon request. The subscription rate for subsequent issues is \$2.00 for one year and \$5.00 for three years. Make checks payable to TRINITY EVANGELICAL DIVINITY SCHOOL, and send to the School of World Mission and Evangelism, TEDS, 2065 Half Day Road, Deerfield, IL 60015.

TRINITY EVANGELICAL DIVINITY SCHOOL SCHOOL OF WORLD MISSION AND EVANGELISM

ROBERT E. COLEMAN, Ph.D.
Director and Professor of Evangelism

PAUL G. HIEBERT, Ph.D.
Chairman and Professor of Mission
and Anthropology

DAVID J. HESSELGRAVE, Ph.D.
Professor of Mission

LOIS MCKINNEY, Ph.D.
Associate Professor of Mission

JOHN W. NYQUIST, M.A.
Assistant Professor of Evangelism

EDWARD ROMMEN, Dr. Theol.
Associate Professor of Mission

TED W. WARD, Ed.D.
Director of the Doctor of Missiology Program
Dean of International Studies

TIMOTHY M. WARNER, Ed.D.
Director of the Doctor of Ministry
in Missiology Program

DAVID TAI WOONG LEE, D.Miss.
Visiting Professor of Mission

RUTH TUCKER, Ph.D.
Visiting Professor of Mission

This globalization of the church is leading to the internationalization of the mission movement as young churches catch the vision for world evangelization. In 1980 the Asian churches sent out about 5,000 missionaries. By 1990 the number is estimated to be well over 37,000. Of that number, Asia accounts for some 20,000, Africa for 17,000, and Latin America for 3000. Pate estimates that the total number of missionaries sent by Two-Thirds world churches could exceed 162,000 by the year 2000 A.D., more than those sent out by churches in the West (1989:5, 34, 40, 44-45).

The rapid growth of the church around the world has created a great shortage of leaders. In North America there is one Christian leader for every 1,300 people in the country, and in Europe one for every 100,000 people. In the Two-Thirds world, however, there is only one Christian leader for every 600,000 people. In the long run this great shortage of leaders in the young churches will seriously affect both their outreach and their spiritual maturity.

The implications of this globalization for missions are profound. Modern health care, travel and electronic media have opened the world to hearing the Gospel as never before. In 1900 about five and a half million Bibles were sold or distributed. In 2000 the figure will reach seventy million. In 1900 2,200 new Christian books were published. In 2000 an estimated 25,000 will be released. In 1900 there were no radios. In 1985 more than one billion people heard the Gospel through Christian broadcasts. By 2000 the number will double.

There are dangers in depending too much on mass media to evangelize the world. They have been particularly effective in spreading information. They have been less effective in leading people to personal commitments to Christ, and least effective in bringing converts together into assemblies of believers. Media cannot substitute for personal witness based on relationships of love and trust.

Discovery of 'culture' and the challenge of pluralism With travel has come an awareness that people elsewhere have different cultures and, reflexively, that we too have our own culture. This awareness has raised difficult questions. How do we communicate the Gospel to people in other cultures? How can we bear witness to Hindus, Muslims and Buddhists of the uniqueness of Christ as the only way to salvation, and do so in love and without arrogance? What needs does Christ meet of people in other cultures, and where does he stand in judgment of those cultures? And what does it mean to be a follower of Jesus Christ in those societies? Today evangelical missionary training centers such as the School of World Mission and Evangelism at Trinity Evangelical Divinity School give a great deal of attention to these questions.

But globalization has also brought the challenge of pluralism to the North American churches. On the one hand, they can effectively reach the world if they minister to the international and immigrant communities around them. On the other, pluralism nurtures a religious relativism that denies the uniqueness of Christ and his salvation. In the church this leads to a loss of the missionary vision and to nominalism.

Urbanization Another trend in the modern world is urbanization. We are living in the middle of the greatest exodus the earth has seen as people around the world move from fields and villages to cities. In 1900 only 5.5 percent of the world's population lived in cities with more than 100,000 people. By the end of this century close to 40% will, and by 2050—if Christ tarries—75% will live in large cities.

In the past, urbanization characterized the West. Today it is occurring most rapidly in the Two-Thirds world. In 1900 A.D. nine of the top ten cities were in the so-called Christian West. By 2000 A.D. only New York will be in the top ten cities of the world. By 2030 seven will be in Asia, two in Latin America, and one (Mexico City) in North America.

While more and more people are moving to cities, we must not forget that more than half of the world's people live in villages and hamlets. It is important to remember, too, that the church has been most effective in evangelizing tribal and peasant societies. We need to continue our efforts to reach these societies. We need also to add an outreach to cities which are the church's new frontier. To do so we must have new forms of witness and ministry that speak to life in the city. If the church fails in the city, it will become increasingly marginal in the world.

Anti-Modern Reactions

In reaction to modernity, fundamentalist movements are emerging in Muslim, Hindu, and Buddhist countries around the world. Many of these are trying to recreate religious states and are closing their doors to direct evangelism and church planting. Over three billion people live in nations where missionary work is restricted or prohibited.

In India Hindu fundamentalists are actively reconverting Christians and baptizing them into Hinduism, in some areas more rapidly than Hindus become Christians. In Muslim countries Christians—particularly recent converts from Islam—are persecuted and even killed. Rarely has the church experienced the persecution now taking place around the world. Barrett estimates (1987:25) that the number of Christian martyrs in 1986 was almost ten times the number in 1900.

A second reaction to modernity is the emergence—particularly in the west—of post-modernity. This is seen in the New Age Movement and other self-realization movements that make us gods, and focus on inner experience and personal well-being rather than on biblical truth. It is seen also in what is called 'deconstructionism'—an emphasis that all paths to health, art, architecture, God or whatever are good—and to the search for the new for newness sake.

Realignment of World Powers

This decade is witnessing a radical realignment of powers on the world scene. Marxism, one of the two contenders to lead the world into modernity, is collapsing, leaving a vacuum full of potential and of danger. The doors for evangelism are opening in Eastern Europe, but the message too often heard in those countries is consumerism. What the consequences are of all this for missions and the church remains to be seen.

The Challenge to the Church

What implications do these trends have for Christ's church and his people? Certainly the needs are greater than ever before. More people today have not heard the Gospel of salvation than at any time in human history. More people are poor, starving and oppressed. But the opportunities are also greater. The church exists in all parts of the world, and God has blessed it with the resources, both human and material, to carry out the mission he has given to it.

These world trends have profound implications for institutions such as TEDS that are already training church leaders as evangelists and missionaries for ministry in the twenty-first century. Great care needs to be taken to prepare them for the future, not the past.

Above all, God is already at work in the world preparing the hearts of people to hear the Word, and saving those who turn to him in faith. The challenge comes to us as

individuals and as churches to be obedient to God's specific call to us.

REFERENCES CITED

Barrett, David B.
1982 *World Christian Encyclopedia* Nairobi: Oxford University Press.
1987 Status of Global Mission, 1987, in Context of 20th Century. *International Bulletin of Missionary Research*. 11:1:25 (January).

Bellah, R. N., et. al.
1985 *Habits of the Heart: Individualism and Commitment in American Life*. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Berger, P., B. Berger and H. Kellner
1973 *The Homeless Mind: Modernization and Consciousness*. New York: Random House.

Hesselgrave, David, J.
1987 "Missions Education: Major Trends and Issues in World Missions Today." *Evangelical Missions Quarterly* 15:3:298-305. (July)

McKenna, David
1986 *Mega Truth*. San Bernadino: Here's Life Publishers.

Miller, Marlin E.
1988 "Response to 'World trends and their implications.'" *Mission Focus*. 16:4:82-83.

Naisbitt, John
1982 *Megatrends: Ten New Directions Transforming Our Lives*. New York: Warner Books.

Newbigin, Leslie
1989 *Foolishness to the Greeks*.

Pate, Larry D.
1989 *From Every People*. Monrovia, CA: MARC.

Sine, Tom
1987 "Shifting Christian Mission into the Future Tense." *Missiology: An International Review*. 15:1:15-23 (January).

Sommers, John G.
1977 *Beyond Charity*. Washington, D.C.: Overseas Development Council.

FACULTY TRAVELS

The faculty of the School of World Mission and Evangelism is engaged not only in teaching students at Trinity Evangelical Divinity School, but in writing, speaking and serving in a number of capacities in order to spread the Gospel of our Lord, Jesus Christ. Here are some recent activities of our faculty, along with some upcoming events.

DR. ROBERT E. COLEMAN

March 6
Spring Lecture Series
Central Baptist Theological Seminary, Kansas City, KS
April 18
Billy Graham School of Evangelism, San Diego, CA

DR. DAVID J. HESSELGRAVE

March 1-2
Regional Meeting of the EMS, San Antonio, TX
March 8-9
Regional Meeting of the EMS, Toccoa Falls, GA

DR. LOIS McKINNEY

February 11
Christian Management Association, Chicago, IL
Workshop leader

McKINNEY, cont.

February 14-15
Columbia Bible College and Seminary, Columbia, SC
Board of Trustees meeting

DR. TIMOTHY WARNER

Seminars on Spiritual Warfare
March 2-3
Evangelical Free Church, Felton, CA
March 9
Bethel Baptist Church, Grand Prairie, Alberta, Canada
March 23-24
Evangelical Free Church, Wichita, KS
Other Speaking Engagements
March 7-8
Canadian Theological Seminary Lecture Series, Regina, Saskatchewan, Canada